


## President Andrew Jackson's Message to Congress 'On Indian Removal' (December 6<sup>th</sup>, 1830) - Excerpts



The consequences of a speedy removal will be important to the United States, to individual States, and to the Indians themselves. The pecuniary<sup>2</sup> advantages which it promises to the Government are the least of its recommendations. It puts an end to all possible danger of collision between the authorities of the General<sup>3</sup> and State Governments on account of the Indians. It will place a dense and civilized population in large tracts of country now occupied by a few savage hunters. By opening the whole territory between Tennessee on the north and Louisiana on the south to the settlement of the whites it will incalculably strengthen the southwestern frontier and render the adjacent States strong enough to repel future invasions without remote aid.

It will relieve the whole State of Mississippi and the western part of Alabama of Indian occupancy, and enable those States to advance rapidly in population, wealth, and power. It will separate the Indians from immediate contact with settlements of whites; free them from the power of the States; enable them to pursue happiness in their own way and under their own rude<sup>4</sup> institutions; will retard<sup>5</sup> the progress of decay, which is lessening their numbers, and perhaps cause them gradually, under the protection of the Government and through the influence of good counsels, to cast off their savage habits and become an interesting, civilized, and Christian community.

What good man would prefer a country covered with forests and ranged by a few thousand savages to our extensive Republic, studded with cities, towns, and prosperous farms embellished with all the improvements which art can devise or industry execute... and filled with all the blessings of liberty, civilization and religion? ...

The tribes which occupied the countries now constituting the Eastern States were annihilated<sup>6</sup> or have melted away to make room for the whites. The waves of population and civilization are rolling to the westward, and we now propose to acquire the countries occupied by the red men of the South and West by a fair exchange, and, at the expense of the United States, to send them to land where their existence may be prolonged and perhaps made perpetual<sup>7</sup>.

Doubtless it will be painful to leave the graves of their fathers; but what do they more than our ancestors did or than our children are now doing? ... {White settlers} remove hundreds and almost thousands of miles at their own expense, purchase the lands they occupy, and support themselves at their new homes from the moment of their arrival. Can it be cruel in this Government when, by events which it cannot control, the Indian is made discontented<sup>8</sup> in his ancient home to purchase his lands, to give him a new and extensive territory, to pay the expense of his removal, and support him a year in his new abode? How many thousands of our own people would gladly embrace the opportunity of removing to the West on such conditions! If the offers made to the Indians were extended to them, they would be hailed with gratitude and joy.

And is it supposed that the wandering savage has a stronger attachment to his home than the settled, civilized Christian? Is it more afflicting to him to leave the graves of his fathers than it is to our brothers and children? Rightly considered, the policy of the General Government toward the red man is not only liberal, but generous. He is unwilling to submit to the laws of the States and mingle with their population. To save him from this alternative, or perhaps utter annihilation, the General Government kindly offers him a new home, and proposes to pay the whole expense of his removal and settlement.

---

1. 'important' in this context has a positive implication. Jackson is saying removal will be a good thing for the three groups he mentions.

2. 'pecuniary' – financial, material, tangible. Jackson is arguing that the economic benefits of removal are just the beginning

3. 'General' government = federal government.

4. 'rude' - primitive, undeveloped

5. 'retard' – slow down

6. 'annihilated' – wiped out (by disease, warfare, or some combination of the two)

7. 'perpetual' – unending, lasting forever

8. 'discontented' – unhappy

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Hour: \_\_\_\_\_

## Andrew Jackson's Speech to Congress on Indian Removal (1830)

ALL RESPONSES MUST BE CLEAR, SPECIFIC, AND IN PLAIN, SIMPLE ENGLISH (YOUR OWN WORDS) TO RECEIVE CREDIT.

According to Jackson, what were FIVE reasons Indian Removal would be good for (white) Americans?

1. \_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_
4. \_\_\_\_\_
5. \_\_\_\_\_

According to Jackson, what were THREE reasons the tribes being removed should be *thankful* for their move to Indian Territory (Oklahoma) - or at least whine and complain about it a whole lot less?

9-10. Using your textbooks or whatever resources you have available, look up “Indian Removal” or “The Trail of Tears.” In your own words, summarize how removal *actually happened* for most tribes in the 1830s and any significant impact it had on the Five Civilized Tribes. (4-5 sentences)

[illegible]